

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,691	04/07/2000	Barrie Gilbert	1482-132	2100
	590 03/26/2002			
MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM P C 1030 SW Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205			EXAMINER	
			SOBUTKA, PHILIP	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
DEC 0 3 2002
Technology Center 2600

RECEIVED

2007 DEC -2 PM 2: 16

30 ARD OF PATENT APPEALS

PAGE \_\_\_\_ OF 4

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/545,691	GILBERT, BARRIE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Philip J. Sobutka	2683	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examin	ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) 🛭 b) 🗌	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the fevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO WAS FILED WAS FILED WITHIN TWO WAS FILED WAS FILED WITHIN TWO WAS FILED WAS FI	he final rejection.
nave been 37 CFR 1 b) above,	706.07(?): tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13(e) is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the form 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final reject patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ee. The appropriate extension fee under e final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. 🗌 A	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the pe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	eriod set forth in f the appeal.
2. 🗌 T	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	j.
(a)	) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (se	ee NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal; and/or	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)	I) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
	NOTE:	
3.⊠ A	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejections of claims 2,3,5	9 <u>,10,13.</u> .
4.⊠ N 1	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2,3,9,10,13 and 16-26</u> would be allowable if suffiled amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	bmitted in a separate, timely
6. 🔲 T	raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	<u>Sheet.</u> to issues which were newly
7.⊠ F	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below	
٦	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed: 2,3,13 and 16-26.	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>15</u> .	•
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. 🔲 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. 🛛 I	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 1	<u>o</u> .
10.	Other:	KH E
	PA	GE Z OF A

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While the applicant has shown one example of a class AB operation, the applicant has not overcome the examiners contention that improved operation of class AB stages would be motivation to modify Voinescu.

WILLIAM TROST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

EXH E PAGE 3 OF F

PATENT APPLICATION

Inventors:

Barrie Gilbert

Serial No.:

09/545,691

Examiner:

Philip Sobutka

Filing Date:

April 7, 2000

Group Art Unit:

2683

Title:

RF MIXER WITH INDUCTIVE DEGENERATION

Date:

February 14, 2002

RECEIVED

**Technology** Center 2600

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION FORM PTO-1449 (Modified)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Exam <u>Init</u>

<u>Ref</u>

Document Number

Issue **Date** 

Name

Class

Sub-**Class** 

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Document

**Publication** 

Number

<u>Date</u>

Country

Name

OTHER DOCUMENTS

Exam

**Init** 

Ref

(Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

Analysis and Design of Analog Integrated Circuits, Third Edition (1993),

by Paul R. Gray and Robert G. Meyer, University of California,

Berkeley, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pages 377-382, (plus title pages and

table of contents) [11 pages total]